Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	10/015,812	ODAOHHARA, SHIGEFUMI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nirav S Amin	2115
All Participants:	Status of Applicati n:	_
(1) <u>Nirav S Amin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John L Rogitz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 January 2005	Time: <u>11:30AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: First action, non-final rejection		
Claims discussed: 10-12		
Prior art documents discussed: USPN: 6,134,667		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
	TECHNOLOGY CENTE	
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The outstanding rejection, and the new reference (USPN: 6,134,667)were discussed. The reference teaches different cooling methods based on respective temperature sensors. To distinguish over the reference, claim 10 will be amended to include the subject matter claimed in claim 11, and claim 11 will be cancelled. Claim 12 will be amended to depend on claim 10 instead of claim 11...